KNEE



Mechanically aligned total knee arthroplasty with the extension-first technique does not equally restore neutral knee alignment in all preoperative knee phenotypes

Nina Hörlesberger¹ · Carina Zinggl¹ · Maria Anna Smolle¹ · Lukas Leitner¹ · Birgit Lohberger¹ · Andreas Leithner¹ · Patrick Sadoghi¹

Received: 8 April 2022 / Accepted: 26 August 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Purpose The aim of this study was to determine the change in the long leg axis according to the preoperative knee phenotype using the mechanically aligned extension-first technique in total knee arthroplasty. The hypothesis of this study was that the knee phenotype would have an impact on the postoperative leg axis.

Methods This was a retrospective comparative study comprising 224 whole-leg radiographs of 112 patients. The leg axes of the pre- and postoperative radiographs were measured and categorized into three preoperative limb phenotypes (based on the hip-knee-ankle angle [HKA]) according to Hirschmann et al. (varus—HKA < 178.5°, neutral—HKA 178.5°–181.5°, and valgus—HKA > 181.5°). Additionally, femoral phenotypes (based on the femoral mechanical angle [FMA], i.e., the mechanical medial distal femoral angle [mMDFA], as well as the tibial phenotypes [based on the tibial mechanical angle, i.e., the medial proximal tibial angle (MPTA)] was calculated. The change in the long leg axis was analyzed and compared with the preoperative limb phenotype.

Results Significantly more patients with preoperative varus alignment shifted to neutral alignment (46.3%, n=31) than did patients with preoperative valgus alignment (38.9%; n=14). Moreover, 43.3% of patients (n=29) with the varus phenotype remained in a varus alignment, compared with the 58.3% of patients with preoperative valgus phenotype (n=21) remaining in valgus alignment. These findings were similar for both females (p < 0.001) and males (p=0.015).

Conclusion Using an extension-first mechanically aligned surgical technique, varus phenotypes predominantly result in neutral leg axes or remain varus, neutral phenotypes remain neutral, and valgus phenotypes remain valgus or change to neutral phenotypes. This study showed that preoperative knee phenotypes in valgus knees influence this technique more strongly than estimated in previous investigations, which is in line with modern alignment philosophies for TKA. **Level of evidence** Level IV, retrospective comparative study.

Keywords Knee prosthesis \cdot Radiography \cdot Arthroplasty, Replacement, Knee/instrumentation \cdot Biomechanical phenomenon \cdot Arthroplasty, Replacement, Knee/methods \cdot Mechanical alignment \cdot Leg axis \cdot Knee/phenotypes

Introduction

Neutral mechanical alignment has been established as the gold standard for total knee arthroplasty (TKA) for decades, providing good long-term survival and clinical outcomes.

Nina Hörlesberger and Carina Zinggl contributed equally.

Maria Anna Smolle maria.smolle@medunigraz.at

Published online: 10 September 2022

However, recent studies propose a residual varus alignment that is indicative of superior results [1, 10, 16, 20, 27, 28]. While Matziolis et al. found no significant difference in postoperative WOMAC (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index) and Short Form-36 (SF-36) scores between patients with varus or neutral alignment [16], Vanlommel et al. reported that patients with postoperative mild varus achieved significantly higher WOMAC and KSS (Knee Society Score) scores [28].

In addition, mechanical or anatomical alignment techniques do not always result in a neutral leg axis [3, 12] and are under criticism because of the abnormal kinematics and

¹ Department of Orthopaedics and Trauma, Medical University of Graz, Auenbruggerplatz 5, 8036 Graz, Austria

lack of reconstruction of the constitutional anatomy and laxity [22, 23]. A more advanced approach was the adjusted mechanical alignment technique, which left a residual varus based on the constitutional varus concept described by Bellemans et al. but revealed no clinically superior outcome [1].

Therefore, there has been a growing interest in more personalized approaches based on preexisting phenotypes called constitutional or functional alignment in TKA [7–9, 17]. The KA technique has been reported to show more personalized physiological implantation and superior clinical outcomes by restoring the anatomy and ligament balance of the constitutional leg axis before arthritic deformation, as proposed by Howell et al. [3, 21, 23].

However, no study has investigated the impact of the preoperative knee phenotype on radiological outcomes after mechanically aligned TKA with respect to this new knowledge. It is, therefore, of interest whether and to what amount conventional TKA techniques consider the preoperative limb phenotype, as there is controversy regarding which limb phenotype changes more using the extension-first, gap-balanced technique in TKA.

The aim of this study was therefore to determine the amount of change in the long leg axis depending on the preoperative knee phenotype using the mechanically aligned extension-first, gap-balanced technique. The hypothesis was that the preoperative limb phenotype would have an impact on the extent of change in the postoperative leg axis.

Materials and methods

Study design and recruitment

This was a retrospective comparative level IV study of 224 radiographs including 112 patients. For recruitment, the hospital database was searched for patients who underwent implantation of TKA. The inclusion criterion was primary osteoarthritis. Exclusion criteria were preexisting arthroplasties of the hip or the ankle joint, revision arthroplasties, or secondary osteoarthritis. Patients who underwent total hip or ankle arthroplasty were excluded from the analysis (Fig. 1). All surgeries were performed by or under the supervision of the same senior surgeon (last author).

Surgical technique, inpatient rehabilitation and radiological assessment

Upon admission, standardized radiographs of the knee joint in three planes (AP and lateral projection, and patella tangential projection) as well as full leg radiographs were taken. All prostheses (Attune DePuy Synthes, Warsaw, USA) were implanted under general or epidural anesthesia. A medial parapatellar approach was used, and surgery was performed

☑ Springer



Fig. 1 Flowchart of included and excluded patients who underwent primary total knee arthroplasty

under single-shot antibiosis with cefazolin or clindamycin. Knees were aligned using the extension-first technique, and rotation of the femoral shield was achieved using the gapbalanced technique [11]. All protheses were fully cemented, and patients were allowed to bear full weight postoperatively. Two days after surgery, continuous passive motion was performed until hospital discharge. Before discharge, standardized radiographs of the knee joint in three planes (AP and lateral projection, and patella tangential projection) as well as full leg radiographs were taken.

Measurements of the radiographs

The joint lines, joint centers, and joint angles were marked on long leg radiographs and measured by hand according to Paley's definition using mediCAD[®] Version 6.0 (mediCAD Hectec GmbH, Germany). All axes were drawn in the frontal plane [19]. First, the joint orientation lines and then the anatomical and mechanical axes through the femur as well as the middiaphyseal line through the tibia were reconstructed. The Mikulicz Line was marked, and the total joint angles as well as the hip–knee–ankle angle (HKA) were measured (Fig. 2). All measurements were provided with one decimal number and performed twice by two independent investigators. Inter- and intraclass correlation analyses were calculated and revealed excellent agreement with values greater than 0.8.

The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (30-253 ex 17/18).

Statistical analysis

Explorative and descriptive analyses were performed. According to Hirschmann et al. [9], limb phenotypes were classified based on the HKA. The varus limb phenotype



Fig. 2 Schematic picture of the digital measurements performed on the AP radiograph of a total leg in the standing position

was defined as HKA < 178.5° , the neutral phenotype as HKA between 178.5° and 181.5° , and the valgus phenotype as HKA larger than 181.5° [9]. In addition, the femoral phenotype based on the femoral mechanical angle [FMA, i.e., the mechanical medial distal femoral angle (mMDFA)] as well as the tibial phenotype based on the

tibial mechanical angle [TMA, i.e., the medial proximal tibial angle (MPTA)] was calculated [9].

Statistical analyses were performed with Stata Version 16.1 for Mac (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, US). Means and medians were provided with corresponding standard deviations and interquartile ranges (IQRs). Pre- and postoperative alignment of the leg axes was compared with a paired t test. The change in leg axis alignment from the preoperative to the postoperative status was assessed with a chi-squared test. Differences in mechanical and anatomical angles depending on preoperative limb phenotype were performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc pairwise comparisons of means.

Inter- and intraclass correlations were calculated for agreement between raters. A priori power analysis was calculated with respect to a magnitude of differences of 10% of change from varus to neutral or valgus to neutral with a power greater than 80%, and a p value of < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Seventy-one patients were female (63.4%), and 41 were male (36.6%). The mean patient age at surgery was 71.6 ± 8.4 years. According to the HKA by Hirschmann et al. [9], 67 patients had a preoperative varus alignment (59.8%), 36 had a valgus alignment (32.1%), and 9 had a neutral alignment (8.1%). Preoperatively, 29 patients (25.9%) had neutral femoral phenotypes according to Hirschmann et al., 30 had a valgus femoral phenotype (26.8%), and 53 had a varus femoral phenotype (47.3%). Regarding preoperative tibial phenotypes, 41 patients (36.6%) had neutral, 45 had valgus (40.2%), and 26 had varus phenotypes (23.2%).

Following TKA, 30 patients had a varus alignment (26.8%), 30 a valgus alignment (26.8%), and 52 a neutral alignment (46.4%; Table 1). Significantly more patients with preoperative varus alignment shifted to neutral alignment (n=31; 46.3%) than did patients with preoperative valgus (n=14; 38.9%; p < 0.001). Moreover, only 43.3% (n=29) of patients with preoperative varus showed a persistent varus postoperatively, while 58.3% (n=21) of patients with preoperative valgus still had a valgus alignment. Likewise, in the subgroup of males (p=0.015) and females (p < 0.001), similar observations with regard to changes in the long leg axis depending on preoperative leg alignment were found. Changes in femoral and tibial phenotypes from pre- to postoperative status are depicted in Table 1.

Mean axis deviation (MAD) and anatomical femorotibial angle (aTFA)

There was a significant overall difference in the pre- to postoperative change in MAD (p < 0.001) as well as aTFA

Table 1 Pre- and postoperative alignment as defined by the hip-knee-ankle angle (HKA), FMA, and TMA according to Hirschmann et al. [9] (n=112; p<0.001)

НКА					
	Postoperative limb phenoty	erative limb phenotype			
	Neutral	Varus	Valgus		
Preoperative limb phenotyp	pe (n; %)				
Neutral	7 (77.8)	0 (0.0)	2 (22.2)		
Varus	31 (46.3)	29 (43.3)	7 (10.4)		
Valgus	14 (38.9)	1 (2.8)	21 (58.3)		
FMA					
	Postoperative femoral phen	Postoperative femoral phenotype			
	Neutral	Varus	Valgus		
Preoperative femoral pheno	otype (<i>n</i> ; %)				
Neutral	11 (37.9)	18 (62.1)	0 (0.0)		
Varus	8 (15.1)	45 (84.9)	0 (0.0)		
Valgus	10 (33.3)	16 (53.4)	4 (12.3)		
TMA					
	Postoperative tibial phenot	Postoperative tibial phenotype			
	Neutral	Varus	Valgus		
Preoperative tibial phenoty	pe (<i>n</i> ; %)				
Neutral	8 (19.5)	0 (0.0)	33 (80.5)		
Varus	10 (38.5)	(0.0)	16 (61.5)		
Valgus	5 (11.1)	(0.0)	40 (88.9)		

(p < 0.001) depending on the preoperative leg alignment (Table 2). Specifically, the differences in mean change in MAD between pre- and postoperative were most notable in the valgus vs. varus group with $-11.2 \pm 0.7 \text{ mm} (p < 0.001)$, followed by the valgus vs. neutral group with $-5.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ mm} (p < 0.001)$. For varus vs. neutral, the difference was significant at $5.4 \pm 1.1 \text{ mm} (p < 0.001)$.

For the aTFA, the mean changes from pre- to postoperative were highest in the valgus vs. varus group $(-13.7 \pm 0.9^\circ; p < 0.001)$, followed by the valgus vs. neutral $(-6.9 \pm 1.7^\circ; p < 0.001)$ and varus vs. neutral groups $(6.9 \pm 1.6^\circ; p < 0.001)$.

Table 2Mean changes inmeasurements and angles frompre- to postoperative statusdepending on the preoperativeleg alignment according toHirschmann et al. [9]

Mean pre- to postoperative	Preoperative leg alignment			p value
difference	Neutral	Varus	Valgus	
MAD	$0.7 \pm 1.8 \text{ mm}$	$6.0 \pm 2.6 \text{ mm}$	-5.9 ± 3.6 mm	< 0.001
aTFA	$-0.1^{\circ}\pm2.5^{\circ}$	$6.8^{\circ} \pm 4.1^{\circ}$	$-6.9^{\circ}\pm5.6^{\circ}$	< 0.001
AMA	$0.5^{\circ}\pm0.5^{\circ}$	$0.2^\circ \pm 0.6^\circ$	$0.1^{\circ} \pm 0.8^{\circ}$	0.225
Femoral angles				
aMPFA	$-1.2^{\circ}\pm1.7^{\circ}$	$-1.0^{\circ}\pm3.6^{\circ}$	$-1.0^{\circ}\pm4.0^{\circ}$	0.980
mMPFA	$-0.4^{\circ}\pm1.7^{\circ}$	$-1.2^{\circ}\pm4.5^{\circ}$	$-0.7^{\circ}\pm3.9^{\circ}$	0.752
aMDFA/aLDFA	$-2.1^\circ \pm 7.9^\circ$	$0.6^{\circ} \pm 5.2^{\circ}$	$3.4^{\circ} \pm 4.9^{\circ}$	0.008
mMDFA/mLDFA	$1.7^{\circ} \pm 2.3^{\circ}$	$1.0^{\circ} \pm 3.3^{\circ}$	$4.2^{\circ} \pm 3.0^{\circ}$	< 0.001
Tibial angles				
MPTA/LPTA	$0.1^{\circ} \pm 1.6^{\circ}$	$-3.5^{\circ}\pm2.9^{\circ}$	$0.1^{\circ} \pm 2.7^{\circ}$	< 0.001
MDTA/LDTA	$-3.1^{\circ}\pm2.6^{\circ}$	$-0.1^{\circ}\pm4.5^{\circ}$	$-2.0^\circ \pm 3.6^\circ$	0.022

Significant *p*-values highlighted in bold

🖄 Springer

Femoral angles

There was no significant overall difference in the anatomical medial proximal femur angle (aMPFA; p = 0.980; Table 2) or the mechanical medial proximal femur angle (mMPFA; p = 0.752; Table 2).

The mMDFA (p < 0.001) and anatomical distal femoral angle (aMDFA; p = 0.008) showed a highly significant overall difference in all four angles depending on the preoperative leg alignment (Table 2). In detail, a greater difference in aMDFA/anatomical lateral distal femur angle (aLDFA) was found for preoperative valgus vs. neutral alignment ($5.5^{\circ} \pm 2.0^{\circ}$; p = 0.007) than for preoperative valgus vs. varus alignment ($2.8^{\circ} \pm 1.1^{\circ}$; p = 0.013). The difference in preoperative varus vs. neutral alignment was not statistically significant ($2.7^{\circ} \pm 1.9^{\circ}$; p = 0.160).

In addition, the mMDFA/mechanical lateral distal femur angle (mLDFA) changed significantly depending on the preoperative leg alignment (p < 0.001; Table 2). Specifically, significant changes in mMDFA/mLDFA were found for valgus vs. varus ($3.2^{\circ} \pm 0.6^{\circ}$; p < 0.001) and valgus vs. neutral ($2.4^{\circ} \pm 1.2^{\circ}$; p = 0.038) but not for varus vs. neutral preoperative leg alignment ($-0.8^{\circ} \pm 1.1^{\circ}$; p = 0.488). The aMDFA/aLDFA and mMDFA/mLDFA changed the most upon surgery in the case of preoperative valgus alignment.

Tibial angles

There was a highly significant overall difference in all four tibial angles depending on the preoperative limb phenotype (Table 2). For the MPTA/lateral proximal tibia angle (LPTA), the greatest differences were found between preoperative valgus and varus alignment $(3.6^\circ \pm 0.5^\circ, p < 0.001)$ as well as varus and neutral alignment $(-3.6^\circ \pm 1.0^\circ, p < 0.001)$. On the other hand, no significant difference between preoperative valgus and neutral leg alignment was present $(0.1^\circ \pm 1.0^\circ; p = 0.936)$.

As opposed to MPTA/LPTA with the largest overall difference from pre- to postoperative status seen in the preoperative varus phenotype, the greatest pre- to postoperative change in medial distal tibial tibia angle (MDTA)/lateral distal tibia angle (LDTA) was observed in preoperative neutral alignment ($-3.1^{\circ} \pm 2.6^{\circ}$; Table 2). There was a significant difference between varus and neutral alignment ($3.0^{\circ} \pm 1.5^{\circ}$, p=0.044) as well as between valgus and varus alignment ($-2.0^{\circ} \pm 0.9^{\circ}$, p=0.023) but not between valgus and neutral alignment ($1.0^{\circ} \pm 1.5^{\circ}$; p=0.512).

AMA (anatomical to mechanical angle)

No significant overall difference in AMA could be found from pre- to postoperative status depending on the preoperative leg alignment (p = 0.225; Table 2).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to determine the amount of change in the long leg axis depending on the preoperative knee phenotype using the mechanically aligned extensionfirst, gap-balanced technique. The hypothesis was that the preoperative limb phenotype would have an impact on the extent of change in the postoperative leg axis.

We found that using an extension-first mechanically aligned surgical technique, varus phenotypes predominantly result in neutral leg axes or remain varus, neutral phenotypes remain neutral, and valgus phenotypes remain valgus or change to neutral phenotypes.

Varus deformities are very common deformities in patients with end-stage knee osteoarthritis [1]. The complexity lies in bony differences on one hand and a large variability of gap sizes from extension to flexion on the other hand [2, 4, 17]. With the extension-first technique considering both bony and soft tissue aspects, 46.3% of preoperative varus knees had a neutral alignment postoperatively. On the other hand, this was also true for 38.9% of preoperative valgus knees. In detail, 43.3% of varus knees persisted postoperatively, in line with a study by Heyse et al., proposing persisting varus malalignment in 30.2% of varus knee osteoarthritis patients [6]. In contrast with our results, Schiffner et al. reported a persisting varus deformity in 33 of 148 patients (22.3%), whereas the majority showed a neutral alignment postoperatively (n = 115; 77.7%) [25].

In comparison to the varus knee, a valgus knee is challenging due to its contracted lateral soft tissue structures [15]. A recent study reported that a residual valgus after TKA for valgus knee osteoarthritis might cause postoperative patella instability due to abnormal proportions of the patella tilt angle and the congruence angle, despite no significant effect on the short-term outcome [14]. In valgus knee alignment, the surgical approach may influence outcomes, with a lateral approach being associated with improved postoperative alignment and patellar tilt [5, 15, 18]. Nikolopoulos et al. showed that a residual valgus deviation occurred in 9% of cases when using a lateral parapatellar arthrotomy, as opposed to 32% when using a medial approach [18]. In the current study, 58.3% of preoperative valgus knees persisted in valgus alignment using the same medial approach in each case. In light of the studies mentioned above, the use of medial parapatellar arthrotomy might contribute to persisting valgus alignment. Correspondingly, only 38.9% of patients with preoperative valgus showed a neutral alignment postoperatively. On the other hand, 77.8% of preoperative neutral phenotypes persisted postoperatively, while 22.2% changed to a valgus alignment.

A 3D-CT study by Slevin et al. reported no correlation between coronal alignment and clinical outcomes [26], and Sappey-Marinier et al. presented a rate of only 60% successfully reconstructed neutral alignments in 1078 cases aimed at neutral mechanical alignment. This is in contrast to our findings (26.8% varus postoperatively), as they observed approximately persisting varus in 9% of cases postoperatively. Furthermore, neutral and valgus phenotypes persisted in 35% and 8% of patients, respectively, in contrast to the present study [24].

We want to underline the limitations that correlations of changes in leg axis alignment with clinical outcome were not evaluated and that the preoperative limb phenotype was assessed on X-rays already presenting osteoarthritis and on no X-rays taken in the second decade of the patients' lives.

The benefits of this study are that all cases were operated on or under the direct supervision of one surgeon and that all measurements were taken twice by two observers with substantial inter- and intraclass agreement on X-rays, which are still considered the primary imaging technique [13].

Conclusion

Using an extension-first mechanically aligned surgical technique, varus phenotypes predominantly result in neutral leg axes or remain in varus, neutral phenotypes remain neutral, and valgus phenotypes remain in valgus or change to neutral phenotypes. Preoperative valgus influenced this technique to a greater extent than estimated in previous investigations, and we believe that this should be considered in extensionfirst mechanically aligned TKA for patients with valgus knee osteoarthritis.

Acknowledgements Special thanks to our proof reader Marion Siegmund.

Author contributions NH: writing of the manuscript, data administration, approval of the final draft. CZ: revising the manuscript, data administration, measurements of radiographs. MS: statistics, approval of the final draft. LL: control of radiographic measurements. BL: approval of final draft. AL: revising the manuscript, study idea. PS: approval of the final draft, study idea.

Funding Open access funding provided by Medical University of Graz. No funding was received for this study.

Declarations

Conflict of interest Andreas Leithner and Patrick Sadoghi report institutional educational grants by Johnson & Johnson, Alphamed, Medacta, Implantec.

Ethical approval The Ethics Committee of the Medical University of Graz approved the study protocol (30-253 ex 17/18).

🖄 Springer

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Bellemans J, Colyn W, Vandenneucker H, Victor J (2012) The Chitranjan Ranawat award: is neutral mechanical alignment normal for all patients? The concept of constitutional varus. Clin Orthop Relat Res 470:45–53
- Deep K, Picard F, Baines J (2016) Dynamic knee behaviour: does the knee deformity change as it is flexed-an assessment and classification with computer navigation. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 24:3575–3583
- Elbuluk AM, Jerabek SA, Suhardi VJ, Sculco PK, Ast MP, Vigdorchik JM (2022) Head-to-head comparison of kinematic alignment versus mechanical alignment for total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2022.01.052
- Graichen H, Lekkreusuwan K, Eller K, Grau T, Hirschmann MT, Scior W (2021) A single type of varus knee does not exist: morphotyping and gap analysis in varus OA. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-021-06688-4
- Greenberg A, Kandel L, Liebergall M, Mattan Y, Rivkin G (2020) Total knee arthroplasty for valgus deformity via a lateral approach: clinical results, comparison to medial approach, and review of recent literature. J Arthroplasty 35:2076–2083
- Heyse TJ, Decking R, Davis J, Boettner F, Laskin RS (2009) Varus gonarthrosis predisposes to varus malalignment in TKA. HSS J 5:143–148
- Hirschmann MT, Hess S, Behrend H, Amsler F, Leclercq V, Moser LB (2019) Phenotyping of hip-knee-ankle angle in young non-osteoarthritic knees provides better understanding of native alignment variability. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 27:1378–1384
- Hirschmann MT, Moser LB, Amsler F, Behrend H, Leclercq V, Hess S (2019) Phenotyping the knee in young non-osteoarthritic knees shows a wide distribution of femoral and tibial coronal alignment. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 27:1385–1393
- Hirschmann MT, Moser LB, Amsler F, Behrend H, Leclerq V, Hess S (2019) Functional knee phenotypes: a novel classification for phenotyping the coronal lower limb alignment based on the native alignment in young non-osteoarthritic patients. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 27:1394–1402
- Howell SM, Howell SJ, Kuznik KT, Cohen J, Hull ML (2013) Does a kinematically aligned total knee arthroplasty restore function without failure regardless of alignment category? Clin Orthop Relat Res 471:1000–1007
- Hube R, Mayr HO, Kalteis T, Matziolis G (2011) Extension first technique for TKA implantation. Oper Orthop Traumatol 23:241–248

- Insall J, Scott WN, Ranawat CS (1979) The total condylar knee prosthesis. A report of two hundred and twenty cases. J Bone Joint Surg Am 61:173–180
- Khalifa AA, Mullaji AB, Mostafa AM, Farouk OA (2021) A protocol to systematic radiographic assessment of primary total knee arthroplasty. Orthop Res Rev 13:95–106
- Li C, Ji ML, Wang SZ, Zhang WS, Chang Q, Lu J et al (2021) Influence of correction degree on the clinical effect of valgus knee after total knee arthroplasty. Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi 59:1005–1011
- Marchand RC, Scholl L, Bhowmik-Stoker M, Taylor KB, Marchand KB, Chen Z et al (2021) Total knee arthroplasty in the valgus knee: can new operative technologies affect surgical technique and outcomes? Surg Technol Int 39:389–393
- Matziolis G, Adam J, Perka C (2010) Varus malalignment has no influence on clinical outcome in midterm follow-up after total knee replacement. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 130:1487–1491
- Moser LB, Hess S, Amsler F, Behrend H, Hirschmann MT (2019) Native non-osteoarthritic knees have a highly variable coronal alignment: a systematic review. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 27:1359–1367
- 18. Nikolopoulos DD, Polyzois I, Apostolopoulos AP, Rossas C, Moutsios-Rentzos A, Michos IV (2011) Total knee arthroplasty in severe valgus knee deformity: comparison of a standard medial parapatellar approach combined with tibial tubercle osteotomy. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 19:1834–1842
- Paley D, Pfeil J (2000) Principles of deformity correction around the knee. Orthopade 29:18–38
- Parratte S, Pagnano MW, Trousdale RT, Berry DJ (2010) Effect of postoperative mechanical axis alignment on the fifteen-year survival of modern, cemented total knee replacements. J Bone Joint Surg Am 92:2143–2149
- Riviere C, Iranpour F, Auvinet E, Howell S, Vendittoli PA, Cobb J et al (2017) Alignment options for total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 103:1047–1056

- 22. Riviere C, Vigdorchik JM, Vendittoli PA (2019) Mechanical alignment: the end of an era! Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 105:1223-1226
- Riviere C, Villet L, Jeremic D, Vendittoli PA (2021) What you need to know about kinematic alignment for total knee arthroplasty. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 107:102773
- 24. Sappey-Marinier E, Batailler C, Swan J, Schmidt A, Cheze L, MacDessi SJ et al (2021) Mechanical alignment for primary TKA may change both knee phenotype and joint line obliquity without influencing clinical outcomes: a study comparing restored and unrestored joint line obliquity. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-021-06674-w
- 25. Schiffner E, Wild M, Regenbrecht B, Schek A, Hakimi M, Thelen S et al (2019) Neutral or natural? Functional impact of the coronal alignment in total knee arthroplasty. J Knee Surg 32:820–824
- Slevin O, Amsler F, Hirschmann MT (2017) No correlation between coronal alignment of total knee arthroplasty and clinical outcomes: a prospective clinical study using 3D-CT. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 25:3892–3900
- 27. Slevin O, Hirschmann A, Schiapparelli FF, Amsler F, Huegli RW, Hirschmann MT (2018) Neutral alignment leads to higher knee society scores after total knee arthroplasty in preoperatively nonvarus patients: a prospective clinical study using 3D-CT. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 26:1602–1609
- Vanlommel L, Vanlommel J, Claes S, Bellemans J (2013) Slight undercorrection following total knee arthroplasty results in superior clinical outcomes in varus knees. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 21:2325–2330

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.